

Minutes of the Regular meeting of the Syracuse City Council held on October 9, 2018 at 7:00 p.m., in the Council Chambers, 1979 West 1900 South, Syracuse City, Davis County, Utah.

Present: Councilmembers: Andrea Anderson  
Corinne N. Bolduc  
Dave Maughan  
Doug Peterson  
Jordan Savage

Mayor Mike Gailey  
City Manager Brody Bovero  
City Recorder Cassie Z. Brown

City Employees Present:

City Attorney Paul Roberts  
Finance Director Steve Marshall  
Public Works Director Robert Whiteley  
Police Chief Garret Atkin  
Fire Chief Aaron Byington  
Parks and Recreation Director Kresta Robinson  
Development Services Manager Noah Steele

### 1. Meeting Called to Order/Adopt Agenda

Mayor Gailey called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. as a regularly scheduled meeting, with notice of time, place, and agenda provided 24 hours in advance to the newspaper and each Councilmember. Councilmember Maughan provided an invocation and Boy Scout Matthew Wharton led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT THE AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

### 2. Public comment.

Barbara Nichols stated she lives on Trailside Drive and is present to discuss the numerous proposals for Planned Residential Development (PRD) zoning near the Trailside Subdivision in the name of affordability. She noted the pictures and renderings of the homes that would be built are anything but affordable and will only serve the purpose of increasing the population and density of the area while increasing the demand on City resources and services. She stated Syracuse is a community based in a country atmosphere and that is why she moved her rather than Clearfield or Clinton; she and other residents want to keep that feeling and they do not understand what is motivating PRD and other high-density development. She asked if it is related to the fact the City is running out of land or possibly out of money. She indicated developers care about their bottom line and the residents bear that burden and are left with what the developers leave behind. She concluded it is her belief that developers come here to take advantage of the community and the residents want elected officials to do their best and not allow PRD developments on a piecemeal basis; she encouraged the consideration of a plan that would locate PRD near business activities or public transit as that is where high density housing belongs. She stated this type of use does not belong on Bluff Road as it is not wide enough to accommodate higher traffic levels and it lacks sidewalk that would encourage walkability. She asked that the Council consider the future of the City and not just the present conditions.

Alexa Smith stated that she did not have the opportunity to express her opinions in the previous meeting regarding parking restrictions in the Gailey Farms Subdivision, but noted that it should be taken into account that the high school kids that were parking in the neighborhoods were students who were not allowed to park at the school for various reasons. These reasons include a student's inability to pay for a parking pass or the issuance of such a pass being denied to them due to low grades or citizenship ratings. She stated the parking areas at the school are also at capacity and that has caused many student drivers to look for other places to park. She then stated that her concern about the policy is that residents are not allowed to dictate issues such as who can park in front of their home and when that activity should be permitted. For residents who are not home during the day, this policy is no issue, but for residents who have multiple drivers living at their home and they need additional parking spaces outside of their garage or driveway, this policy is a hinderance. She stated removing on-street parking options for some residents is very negatively impactful and her suggestion is that the City consider a policy that would issue a number of parking passes to residents to be distributed as they choose. She would also recommend that the City work with the school to address the larger parking issues.

3a. Recognition item: Miss Syracuse Pageant Committee

A staff memo from Parks and Recreation Director explained Mayor Gailey and Councilmember Bolduc, liaison to the Miss Syracuse Pageant Committee, have requested that members of the Miss Syracuse Pageant Committee be recognized for their many hours of service to our community. They would like to recognize the following committee members:

- o Shelly Thorne
- o Carly Hawker
- o Amber Welch
- o Haley Hamblin
- o Melissa Rydman
- o Ashlee Sisneros
- o Brittany Rice
- o Erin Blakenship
- o Gladyris Larsen

Councilmember Bolduc discussed the success of the recent Miss Syracuse Pageant events and noted that the members of the Pageant Committee exemplify what is needed to be a strong woman of action and refinement. She then presented certificates of recognition to each of the Committee members present.

4. Approval of minutes

The following minutes were reviewed by the City Council: Regular Meeting of August 14, 2018, Special Meeting and Work Session of August 28, 2018, and Work Session and Regular Meeting of September 11, 2018.

COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES LISTED ON THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

5a. Common consent: Proposed Ordinance 18-17 amending Chapter 4.30 of the Syracuse City Code pertaining to cemetery regulations.

A staff memo from the City Attorney explained that as discussed in the Council’s work session last month, the attached cemetery regulations ordinance incorporates the following:

- (1) It adopts the name “Syracuse City Memorial Park” in the ordinance. (4.30.020)
- (2) Reasonable accommodations to our interment black-out period due to religious requirements are specifically contemplated by the ordinance. (4.30.070(E))
- (3) It allows for shepherd’s hooks to be installed in either the monument or the mow strip by the monument. (4.30.130(B)(1)(b))

There are two additions to what was reviewed and discussed during the last work session, both related to shepherd’s hooks. If either of these warrant additional discussion, then any councilmember may remove this item from the consent agenda and onto the regular agenda.

- (1) **2-inch rule.** As a measure to help keep shepherd’s hooks from becoming permanent obstructions for mowing (and thus defeating one of the principal purposes of the mow strip), if they are to be installed in a mow strip, they must be installed within 2 inches of the marker or monument. (4.30.130(B)(1)(b))
- (2) **Secondary flat markers.** Additionally, shepherd’s hooks would be prohibited on flat markers that are secondary to a family monument on a position. Such markers are located directly in the path that the mower takes in its North-South passes, and the presence of a permanent shepherd’s hook would present a dangerous obstruction. A shepherd’s hook could still be placed on or near the family monument. (4.30.130(B)(1)(b)).

Councilmember Maughan asked that the item be removed from the common consent agenda to provide for discussion of the proposal. He expressed his concerns about the need to ensure that the ordinance is effective, yet that it provides residents the opportunity to grieve the loss of a loved one in the way they see fit. He is concerned about being too restrictive relative to the manner in which a grave site can be decorated, and this led to high level discussion and debate among the Council regarding the implications of the proposed ordinance. The Council communicated their willingness to discuss the ordinance in an additional work session meeting to address the concerns that have been raised about restrictions on grave decorating activities.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO TABLE ORDINANCE 18-17 AMENDING CHAPTER 4.30 OF THE SYRACUSE CITY CODE PERTAINING TO CEMETERY REGULATIONS. COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

6. Accept for certification or deny petition to annex 9.51 acres of property at 2600 W. 3000 S., petitioner: C.W. Land.

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department explained the City has received a request to annex 9.51 acres from developers C.W. Land. The property is located approximately 2600 W 3000 S (Gentile St.) west of the public works offices. The land is owned by the LDS church. The annexation is limited to a portion of a larger parcel. A representative from the church has signed the petition. The property is being actively farmed and is also partly left undeveloped. There is no public road access to the property. Utilities would come from the north from Syracuse Meadows subdivision or from the east from Still Water subdivision. It is anticipated that the property would be developed in conjunction with the Clark family property to the north. The annexation petition needs to be accepted by the City Council before it can move ahead to certification. The certification process involves notifying the affected stakeholders including the sewer district, school district, Davis County, and the mosquito abatement district. The process also includes a comment waiting period. Once the period is over, the City Council is clear to approve the annexation via ordinance, after receiving a recommendation by the Planning Commission.

The memo concluded by referencing the City's adopted annexation policy plan. The plan lays out nine criteria for annexation. If the proposed annexation is developed as proposed, in a cohesive master planned development, the criteria for development would be satisfied. As a standalone property, the development potential is premature but together with the surrounding properties, both north and south, should provide the needed transportation and utility infrastructure to support development and provide needed housing options to our residents.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ACCEPT ANNEXATION PETITION FOR 9.51 ACRES OF PROPERTY AT 2600 W. 3000 S. AND REFER TO CITY RECORDER FOR CERTIFICATION. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

7. Proposed Ordinance 18-18 amending various Sections of Title 4 of the Syracuse City Code pertaining to excavations, service laterals, irrigation systems, and illicit discharge.

A staff memo from the Public Works Director explained this proposal would include a violation for any excavations performed in City rights-of-way without acquiring an excavation permit. It also provides a definition of the term "excavation" in order to understand when an excavation permit is necessary. Additionally, it is commonly understood that all sewer and land drain laterals are owned by the property owner being served; this ordinance confirms ownership of laterals. This proposal would also include prohibited acts of tampering with street lights and City sign as well as prohibits individuals from tampering with or turning off irrigation systems at City parks. Also, snow removal from driveways and sidewalks is now described in a separate paragraph rather than combined in a paragraph with rock and dirt. This describes that snow removed from driveways and sidewalks shall not be placed in the road but allows snow from the street to be placed in gutters. The memo concluded that after a recent storm water audit, it was discovered that our storm water ordinance required updates to be in compliance with our permit with the State Department of Water Quality (DWQ).

Public Works Director Whiteley reviewed his staff memo.

Councilmember Savage referenced the section of the ordinance that discusses the City's access to private property and indicated that he would like for the language to be adjusted to clarify the process a City employee will follow before entering private property to address an issue with any public works related infrastructure. Mr. Whiteley provided an explanation of the types of instances where City employees would be entering private property and noted that notification is provided when possible, but in some emergency situations, it is not always possible to provide adequate notification to a property owner. Councilmember Savage stated that he would like for that ordinance to be adjusted to reflect the City's notification process.

Mayor Gailey asked that City Attorney Roberts and Mr. Whiteley confer over the course of the remainder of the meeting to adjust the language in order for this item to be revisited later in the meeting.

8. Proposed Ordinance 18-19 amending the Syracuse City General Plan

Map for property located at 3500 South Bluff Road.

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department provided the following information about the application:

Location: 3500 S. Bluff Road  
 Current Zoning: A-1  
 Current General Plan: R-1  
 Requested General Plan: PRD  
 Property Area: 13.527 acres

The applicant is requesting that the General Plan Map be amended on three parcels to be changed from an R-1 General Plan designation to PRD. Proximity to Bluff Road (a Minor Arterial Road), the planned West Davis Corridor, and proximity to a similar housing product type has been cited by the applicant as reasoning to allow the PRD Zoning. Because the general plan is closed, the City Council chose to approve the opening of the General Plan for consideration of this application, which was done on June 12th. During their regular meeting on June 19, 2018 the Planning Commission moved to table this item because of concerns brought up by residents in the public hearing. Since that time, the developer worked with the Army Corps of Engineers to determine the location and intensity of wetlands. He has also met with the Trailside community who live west of the proposed project to discuss concerns raised during the meeting. Wetlands issues have since been addressed to an extent that the developer feels comfortable coming forward with the project again. Wetlands will need to be mitigated as part of this project.

During their regular meeting on August 21, 2018 the Planning Commission moved to table this item again because a majority decision could not be reached by the Planning Commission. The difficulty in reaching a required four-person vote was because of a recent resignation and an absence. Generally, three were in favor of approval with two against.

During the meeting on September 4, 2018 the Planning Commission discussed the proposed General Plan Map amendment at length. Commissioner Day recused himself leaving four Commissioners to vote which required unanimous agreement to approve a motion. A motion to approve failed with three in favor and one against. Another motion to deny failed for lack of a second. Recognizing a stale mate and also recognizing the need to move the project along, a third motion to forward the item to the City Council without a recommendation passed unanimously.

The applicant has drafted several layouts for the property. The allowed density in the zone is 6 units per gross acre but the applicant is finding that his draft designs will be at or below 5 units per acre. Concept plans are not required for a General Plan change and have not been included in this report. If this project moves forward with general plan and zoning, the details of subdivision design will be reviewed with the concept, preliminary, and final plat applications and will be cemented with a development agreement consummated at the preliminary plat stage. The developer is calling this project 'Edgewater'.

The following table compares the various allowed densities in different zones:

Acres	Zone	Max units/acre	Mas allowed lots	Proposed units	Proposed units/acre
13.45	A-1	.5	6	NA	NA
13.45	R-1	2.3	30	NA	NA
13.45	R-2	3	40	NA	NA
13.45	R-3	4	53	NA	NA
13.45	R-4	11	147	NA	NA
13.45	PRD	6	80	68	5.03
13.45	RPC	4	53	NA	NA

The memo noted that because of proximity to the proposed West Davis Corridor, trail access to Jensen Nature Park that would facilitate open space in a development on the property, and direct access to a Minor Arterial (Bluff Road), staff recommends that this General Plan amendment request be approved. The memo provided a list of pros and cons as follows:

- |   |                     |    |  |
|---|---------------------|----|--|
| 1 | <u>Pros:</u>        | 7  | Proposal less dense than alternatives      |
| 2 | Amenities by trail  | 8  | Next to Jensen Park, which promotes health |
| 3 | Meets housing needs | 9  | <u>Cons:</u>                               |
| 4 | Traffic impact low  | 10 | Road crosses trail two times               |
| 5 | Bluff ROW to City   | 11 | Wetlands                                   |
| 6 | Trail land to City  | 12 | Neighbor opposition                        |

1 Different than General Plan 3 Not adjacent to commercial  
2 West Davis Corridor road noise

4 CED Director Steele reviewed the staff memo and facilitated discussion among the Council regarding the implications  
5 of the proposed General Plan amendment; he indicated that the applicant is willing to enter into a development agreement for  
6 this project and that agreement would be negotiated throughout the development review process. The Council engaged in  
7 philosophical debate regarding the appropriateness of this proposed development and how it will impact or relate to existing  
8 development in the area. Councilmember Anderson expressed her concern about the timing of this proposal in advance of the  
9 process the City plans to follow to update its General Plan; she would like for long term planning priorities to be identified  
10 before considering projects such as this one. She added that this development will result in the creation of a peninsula of  
11 undeveloped property that will likely also be developed with the PRD land use in the future in order to be compatible with  
12 surrounding development. This will result in considerably high density for the area, which will be impactful on City  
13 infrastructure and services. She stated she is unwilling to approve a General Plan amendment at this point as she would rather  
14 hear from the community regarding the type of development they would prefer occur in the area. Councilmember Maughan  
15 agreed.

16 COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO DENY ORDINANCE 18-19 AMENDING THE SYRACUSE  
17 CITY GENERAL PLAN MAP FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3500 SOUTH BLUFF ROAD. COUNCILMEMBER  
18 ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION.

19 Mayor Gailey invited input from the applicant.

20 Alan Prince, Monterey Properties, LLC, approached and asked that the Council consider that the application before  
21 them tonight is simply to consider amending the General Plan and that there are several steps to follow in the development  
22 review process before any design for the potential development would be finalized. He stated that the property would still need  
23 to be assigned zoning, after which a site plan can be created. Development agreements are not typically entered into at the  
24 General Plan amendment phase of a project, but he is committed to negotiate a development agreement with the City that would  
25 limit the project to a density of not more than five units per acre. Additionally, he will provide open space and recreation  
26 amenities as well as preserve 1.5 acres of natural wetlands onsite, which is more than 10 percent of the total property area. He  
27 cautioned the Council to hesitate in basing their denial of the application on the impact the project could have on wetlands as  
28 wetlands are regulated by the Federal Government and not by individual cities. The Federal Government has a program in place  
29 for wetland mitigation and exchanges to provide property owners the ability to develop their property. He stated that the subject  
30 property includes very low-grade wetlands and the water table is four-feet below the surface. He then stated that he has been  
31 cooperative in working with the City and he feels that the Council should allow development when they have the opportunity  
32 to work with a cooperative development; he will listen to and respond to the community's wishes relative to constructing a  
33 senior living community rather than pursuing other types of developments that would be more impactful to adjacent  
34 developments. This will not only address the concerns of the residents, but will also provide a housing product that is needed  
35 in the community. He stated the Council has legislative discretion, but maybe that discretion should be exercised in a manner  
36 that will provide the City the ability to work with a cooperative developer; the purpose of the PRD zone is to allow a developer  
37 to more closely tailor a development project to a specific user group, such as retired persons. He is willing to work with the  
38 City to provide a project that can be accepted by the enter community and he urged the Council to approve the application at  
39 this time.

40 Councilmember Peterson stated that he is comfortable with proceeding with the General Plan amendment as he feels  
41 the applicant is seeking to develop a project that is very similar to the existing development in the area; he feels that the use is  
42 compatible with the area and the only concern he has is ensuring that the one remaining undeveloped parcel be zoned in a way  
43 that will provide for its future development to also be compatible with the existing development.

44 Councilmember Maughan stated that he is concerned that the action taken today will dictate the future development  
45 of the rest of the area. Councilmember Bolduc stated she understands that concern, but it is her feeling that this proposal is the  
46 lowest density that the City could hope to see in terms of development of the subject property based on its location and its  
47 proximity to the corridor for the future West Davis Corridor extension. Continued debate among the Council centered on the  
48 two differing points of view among the Council relative to the timing of considering the proposed General Plan amendment,  
49 after which Councilmember Savage indicated that according to the current General Plan, the developer could proceed with R-  
50 1 cluster development. He does not see another use for the subject property besides R-1 cluster or PRD. This led to additional  
51 discussion and a review of the differences between the R-1 cluster zone and the PRD zone.

1 Councilmember Maughan called the issue to question and asked for a vote on his original motion to deny the proposed  
2 ordinance.

3 Mayor Gailey stated there has been a motion and second to deny the proposed ordinance and he called for a vote;  
4 VOTING 'AYE' – COUNCILMEMBERS ANDERSON AND MAUGHAN. VOTING 'NAY' – COUNCILMEMBERS  
5 BOLDUC, PETERSON, AND SAVAGE. The motion failed.

6 COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOVED TO DENY ORDINANCE 18-19 AMENDING THE SYRACUSE CITY  
7 GENERAL PLAN MAP FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3500 SOUTH BLUFF ROAD. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC  
8 SECONDED THE MOTION. VOTING 'AYE' – COUNCILMEMBERS BOLDUC, PETERSON, AND SAVAGE. VOTING  
9 'NAY' – COUNCILMEMBERS ANDERSON AND MAUGHAN.

10  
11 7. Proposed Ordinance 18-18 amending various Sections of Title 4 of the  
12 Syracuse City Code pertaining to excavations, service laterals, irrigation  
13 systems, and illicit discharge. - CONTINUED

14 Mayor Gailey asked City Attorney Roberts to summarize the language clarifications that could be included in the  
15 proposed ordinance to communicate the City's notification process when it is necessary to access private property to address  
16 an issue with Public Works infrastructure.

17 Mr. Roberts stated that the language he recommends be added to Subsection F would read as follows: "advanced notice  
18 should be provided by City officials as reasonable under the circumstances prior to attempted entry." He stated this will provide  
19 for reasonable application of the code based upon the circumstances that may exist on a property that warrant a City employee's  
20 access. The Council debated the potential need to include specific details relative to the City's notification process, with Mr.  
21 Roberts emphasizing that City Administration would pursue a higher level of detail if certain circumstances arise that warrant  
22 such.

23 COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 18-18 AMENDING VARIOUS SECTIONS  
24 OF TITLE 4 OF THE SYRACUSE CITY CODE PERTAINING TO EXCAVATIONS, SERVICE LATERALS,  
25 IRRIGATION SYSTEMS, AND ILLICIT DISCHARGE, WITH THE ADDITION OF THE FOLLOWING LANGUAGE:

26 (F) ACCESS TO PRIVATE PROPERTY. SYRACUSE CITY EMPLOYEES AND AUTHORIZED AGENTS HAVE  
27 THE AUTHORITY TO ENTER PRIVATE PROPERTY, EXCLUDING PRIVATE DWELLINGS, TO INSPECT  
28 SITES, PROVIDE MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND REPLACE INFRASTRUCTURE, OPERATE FACILITIES,  
29 MARK UTILITIES, VERIFY ILLICIT CONNECTIONS AND ILLICIT DISCHARGES TO ENSURE SYSTEMS  
30 ARE PROTECTED, CLEAN, AND FUNCTIONING PROPERLY. ADVANCED NOTICE SHOULD BE  
31 PROVIDED BY CITY OFFICIALS AS REASONABLE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES PRIOR TO  
32 ATTEMPTED ENTRY.

33 COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

34  
35 9. Authorize Administration to execute short-term land lease agreement  
36 with Enzo Leben for City property located northwest of 2000 West and  
37 Gentile Road.

38 A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained the City Council Authorized Administration to  
39 advertise a request for bids for a short-term lease of the regional park land. Specifically, parcel 12-103-0087, consisting of  
40 approximately 51.55 acres, located to the Northwest of 2000 West & Gentile Road. The City originally advertised the RFP for  
41 approximately two weeks with bids due on Tuesday, July 3, 2018. This RFP stated that the City could provide water upon  
42 request. It was later determined that delivering water to the property at this time is not feasible without a large investment in  
43 infrastructure. Therefore, the original RFP was not awarded. City Administration republished an RFP for the land lease without  
44 the option of available water. The second RFP was bid again for two weeks and closed on October 2. This time, the City only  
45 received one bid:

46       o Enzo Leben                               \$30.00/acre                               \$1,530/year

47 The memo concluded Administration recommends the Council award the one-year lease to Enzo Leben under the  
48 conditions advertised in the RFP at a price of \$1,530 per year.

49 Mr. Marshall reviewed his staff memo.

1 COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOVED TO AUTHORIZE ADMINISTRATION TO EXECUTE SHORT-  
2 TERM LEASE AGREEMENT WITH ENZIO LEBEN FOR CITY RPROPERTY LOCATED NORTHWEST OF 2000 WEST  
3 AND GENTILE ROAD. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

4  
5 10. Proposed Resolution R18-36 reaffirming the City Mission Statement  
6 and updating the 10-year Vision Statements City-wide and for each City  
7 Department.

8 A staff memo from the City Manager explained that at the September 25, 2018 work session meeting, the Council  
9 discussed edits to vision statements that were previously adopted in 2016. The memo referenced an edited version of the mission  
10 and vision statements based on the September 25, 2018 discussion. This item is on the agenda to vote on the adoption of the  
11 updated mission and vision statements. These will be utilized to inform the discussion at the upcoming visioning retreat.

12 Mr. Bovero reviewed his staff memo and provided a brief overview of the changes that were made to the statement  
13 documents. There was brief discussion among the Council regarding the implications of the changes, after which the Council  
14 ultimately concluded to affirm the changes, with one addition to sub-item one under the 10-year City-Wide Vision Statement  
15 to communicate that City services will be provided in an efficient manner.

16 COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOVED TO AUTHORIZE ADOPT RESOLUTION R18-36 REAFFIRMING  
17 THE CITY MISSION STATEMENT AND UPDATING THE 10-YEAR VISION STATEMENTS CITY-WIDE AND FOR  
18 EACH CITY DEPARTMENT, WITH THE ADDITION OF THE STATEMENT “AND SERVICES ARE PROVIDED IN  
19 AN EFFICIENT MANNER” UNDER SUBITEM ONE OF THE 10-YEAR CITY-WIDE VISION STATEMENTS.  
20 COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR

21  
22 11. Discuss request received during September 11, 2018 City Council  
23 meeting regarding use of City facilities for boy scouting events.

24 During the September 11, 2018 business meeting, the Council received a request from Mr. Gary Weeks to make City  
25 facilities available for use by the Boy Scouts of America (BSA) for local scouting programs. The Mayor directed staff to include  
26 an item on a future agenda to allow for discussion of this request and other items related to community scouting.

27 Councilmember Maughan facilitated a discussion among the Council regarding the impacts of the impending changes  
28 to boy scouting programs and models; moving forward, he would like to help individuals who are interested in participating in  
29 a community scouting program and that could be done via facilitation of an organizational meeting to secure partners that  
30 would aid in continuing with scouting programs. He would also like to offer the City Hall building as the host building for a  
31 community scouting program. He stated he would like to schedule an initial organizational meeting for November 15 and asked  
32 that an advertisement of the meeting be included in the City magazine. Discussion then centered on the type of support that a  
33 community scouting group would need from different entities or organizations in the area; the biggest thing a community  
34 scouting group would need from the City is a meeting location that could be offered free or at a discounted cost. The Council  
35 indicated they would be supportive of considering the recommendation to offer a meeting space for scouting groups, so long  
36 as similar opportunities are made available to other community groups seeking assistance from the City. Discussion then  
37 centered to the timing of potentially creating a community scouting group with a focus on the importance of effective  
38 communication to the citizenry relative to any community involvement of the re-chartering actions being considered.

39  
40 12. Discussion item: scope of shelter project at Centennial Park.

41 Several months ago, Parks staff removed the old playground at Centennial Park, due to safety concerns. Staff  
42 recommended, to the Council, replacing the playground with a shade structure. At that time, Council instructed staff to hold  
43 off on putting anything in its place. Recently, Councilmember Savage visited the site and saw a need for a shade structure, or  
44 something similar, to be placed in the empty pad. Councilmember Savage requested a discussion be put on the agenda regarding  
45 the empty pad, and Mayor Gailey agreed to put the item on the agenda. Staff has developed two options, with cost estimates,  
46 for the Council’s consideration.

47 Parks and Recreation Director Robinson reviewed the staff memo and briefly reviewed the site plan for Centennial  
48 Park to identify the location of the empty pad; she reviewed the options available to the Council for erecting a pavilion at the  
49 Park or removing the existing pad and replacing it with sod. The Council discussed the options and concluded to support the  
50 octagon structure, but asked that staff solicit a recommendation from the Parks Advisory Committee as well as secure additional

1 bids to determine if the costs for this aspect of the project can be reduced. Councilmember Savage stated that of utmost  
2 importance to him is that this component of the project is completed at the same time as The Island project.  
3

4 13. Public comments.

5 There were no public comments.  
6

7 14. Mayor/Council announcements and discussion of future agenda items.

8 The Council and Mayor provided announcements about upcoming community events and other opportunities for  
9 public involvement. They also discussed items the Council would like included on future meeting agendas.  
10

11 15. Consideration of adjourning into Closed Executive Session pursuant  
12 to the provisions of Section 52-4-205 of the Open and Public Meetings  
13 Law for the purpose of discussing the character, professional  
14 competence, or physical or mental health of an individual; pending or  
15 reasonably imminent litigation; or the purchase, exchange, or lease of  
16 real property.

17 COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO CONVENE IN A CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION  
18 PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 52-4-205 OF THE OPEN AND PUBLIC MEETINGS LAW FOR THE  
19 PURPOSE OF DISCUSSING THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE, OR LEASE OR REAL PROPERTY AND PENDING OR  
20 REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED  
21 IN FAVOR.

22 The closed session began at 9:24 p.m.

23 The meeting reconvened at 10:26 p.m.  
24  
25

26 At 10:26 p.m. COUNCILMEMBER ANDERSON MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. COUNCILMEMBER  
27 MAUGHAN SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.  
28

29 \_\_\_\_\_  
30 Mike Gailey  
31 Mayor  
32

\_\_\_\_\_

Cassie Z. Brown, MMC  
City Recorder

33 Date approved: November 13, 2018